Design Registration for MSMEs in India: Necessity, Uptake, and Regulatory Developments

News & Updates • September 16, 2026

In the evolving global trade landscape, the transition from informal commercial activity to a structured Intellectual Property (IP) strategy is no longer optional for India's MSME sector. As the Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT) moves to harmonize domestic law with the Riyadh Design Law Treaty, a significant "protection gap" has emerged between administrative business formalization and statutory asset security. This analysis examines the systemic barriers preventing small-scale innovators from leveraging the Designs Act, 2000, while detailing the January 2026 policy interventions designed to democratize design rights in a digital-first economy.

Is Design registration a mandatory requirement for small businesses?

The reluctance of MSMEs and micro-entrepreneurs to formalize their intellectual property (IP) is rarely a rejection of the law; rather, it is a rational response to perceived friction. For a small business owner, the distance between a creative output and a government certificate is often cluttered with economic and psychological hurdles.

1. The Financial Threshold

For an entity operating on thin margins, the "cost" of registration is not just the official filing fee. It includes professional charges for clearance searches and the hidden cost of capital diverted from core operations. While many jurisdictions offer subsidized fees for MSMEs, the complexity of fee structures, and the fear of escalating costs during prosecution or opposition, often leads owners to view IP as a luxury for large corporations rather than a defensive tool for the small.

2. Administrative Friction

The "paperwork tax" remains a significant deterrent. To an entrepreneur, the registration process can feel like a labyrinth of multi-step, technical filings.

3. Conceptual Conflation

It is common for small business owners to conflate trademarks (brand identity) with design registration (visual aesthetics) or patents (functional utility). Without a clear understanding that a

design registration for MSMEs specifically protects the "look and feel" of a product, they continue with the "awareness gap" that a simple trade license or brand name registration provides blanket protection for their physical creations.

4. The Enforcement Paradox

Micro-entrepreneurs operating in local or informal markets, the commercial payoff of a registration is low because the cost of litigating an infringement far exceeds the value of the product. If they cannot afford to sue a copycat, they see little utility in holding the "paper shield" of a registration certificate.

DPIIT's Next Step: January 2026 proposal

In January 2026, the Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT) released a Concept Note proposing amendments to the *Designs Act, 2000*, framed under the ambitious vision of "Design in India, Design for the World," the proposal seeks to modernize a framework that has historically struggled to keep pace with the digital revolution.The objective is to modernise design law to reflect contemporary innovation realities and align it with global best practices under international design law instruments such as the Riyadh Design Law Treaty (DLT) and the Hague Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Industrial Designs. This policy impels support of the overarching vision of *"Design in India, Design for the World"*, advancing India's competitiveness in design-led innovation.

The most significant substantive change is the proposed amendment to the definitions of "article" and "design." Current law often ties protection to a physical, tangible carrier. To facilitate global market entry, the DPIIT has proposed accession to the Riyadh Design Law Treaty (adopted in late 2024). This alignment introduces several "SME-friendly" administrative buffers - replacing the narrow 6-month rule for exhibitions, this allows businesses to test market responses or pitch to investors for a full year before losing the "novelty" required for filing. Introducing "due care" provisions to reinstate rights lost due to unintentional procedural lapses, a safety net for small entities.

To address the "Enforcement Paradox" mentioned previously, the proposal introduces statutory damages of up to ₹50 Lakhs. By removing the heavy evidentiary burden of proving actual financial loss, the DPIIT aims to make litigation a viable deterrent even for smaller entities.

Practical Impact of DPIIT's 2026 Design Law Proposal on

MSMEs

Proposed Reform	Current Position under Designs Act, 2000	What Changes	Strategic Impact for MSMEs / Startups
Recognition of GUIs & Digital Designs	Protection primarily linked to physical articles; ambiguity around purely digital interfaces	Explicit inclusion of GUIs, icons, screen displays, animations	Software companies, SaaS startups, UI/UX-driven brands can secure statutory protection for interface aesthetics. Reduces reliance solely on copyright.
12-Month Grace Period	Very limited grace period (mainly exhibition-based disclosures)	Broader 12-month grace period for prior disclosures	MSMEs can test products in the market, pitch to investors, or exhibit before filing without immediate novelty loss. Allows better commercial validation before incurring filing cost.
Deferred Publication (up to 30 months)	Designs generally published soon after registration	Option to delay publication	Enables confidentiality during product development cycles. Particularly useful in consumer electronics, fashion, and industrial tooling sectors.
Procedural Simplification	Formalistic filings; limited rectification flexibility	Streamlined formalities aligned with international standards	Reduces rejection risk due to technical defects. Encourages first-time filers to engage without fear of procedural pitfalls.
Alignment with International Instruments (Hague / Riyadh DLT)	India not fully integrated into simplified global filing frameworks	Movement toward accession and harmonisation	MSMEs with export ambition gain potential for more efficient multi-jurisdictional design registration for MSMEs planning. Reduces duplicative compliance burden.
Digital Filing Emphasis	E-filing exists but under-utilised by small businesses	Stronger treaty-aligned digital processes	Remote filing becomes more predictable; reduces dependence on physical facilitation and metropolitan IP hubs.

Addressing The Awareness Gap is The Need of the Hour

While formal business registration is surging, the adoption of specialized intellectual property rights, specifically design registrations of MSMEs in India, remains a fraction of the total business volume.

The following table contrasts the sheer volume of administrative business registrations (Udyam) against the specialized protection of intellectual property (Designs), using the most recent 2024–2026 data benchmarks:

Metric Category	Udyam (Administrative)	Design (Intellectual Property)	The "Protection Ratio"
Cumulative Volume	**₹7.77 Crore** (77.7 million) registered entities.	**₹38,633** registrations (2024–25 cycle).	**2,011 : 1** (For every 2k+ MSMEs, only 1 secures a design).
Annual Intake	**₹30 Lakh** (3 million) new registrations (inc. PM Vishwakarma).	**₹45,000** (Estimated 2025 filings).	**66 : 1** (Even for new businesses, IP is a secondary thought).
Primary Driver	**Compliance & Credit:** Access to loans, subsidies, and tender eligibility.	**Market Exclusivity:** Preventing copycats and building brand "look and feel."	**Strategy Gap:** Most businesses exist legally but lack "asset" protection.
Cost Barrier	**Zero Fee:** Free registration on the Udyam portal.	**₹1,000 (MSME rate):** Significant 75% subsidy from the standard ₹4,000.	**Incentive:** Cost is no longer the hurdle; **awareness** is.

From Compliance to Strategy: Securing the MSME Creative Edge

Bridging the "protection gap" requires a shift from mere administrative compliance to strategic asset management. For MSMEs and startups, the 2026 DPIIT reforms and steep fee concessions (up to 80%) have finally made IP registration a low-cost, high-yield defensive tool. By securing design rights early, small entities transform creative overhead into enforceable commercial equity, ensuring they "Design for the World" with full legal confidence.

RELATED PRACTICES

PATENTS