



Overview

Though intellectual property and competition laws pursue parallel goals of encouraging innovation and accelerating economic growth, they strive very differently to achieve the same – the intellectual property regime being predicated primarily on exclusion while competition laws aim to control and curb monopolistic tendencies.

Competition authorities are known to take exception to unreasonable exercises of intellectual property protection, but competition trip ups can even be inadvertent. Over-enthusiastic communication about "dominating the market" can ring regulators' alarm bells; a poor choice of words rendering suspect what is otherwise perfectly legal behaviour. Business practices followed for years are increasingly coming under regulatory scrutiny and fines can be punishing, forcing companies to reconsider their corporate structures and strategies and the impact on their intellectual property rights.

Though reasonable conditions imposing restrictions are generally not impermissible, competition authorities and courts may take into account several factors when assessing if an entity is indulging in anti-competitive activity, not least its position and market share and agreements with others. Given that intellectual property protection in patents, frequently the subject matter of competition law disputes, is limited in duration, certain 'fair use' is permitted and availability of compulsory licensing should go some way in assuaging concerns.

The Firm has advised national and international telecommunications firms, engineering companies,

Competition Law 1/4



e-commerce domains, construction equipment and automobile manufacturers, beverage companies and media associations under the scanner of competition laws.

Our competition practice offers a broad range of services, including due diligence, drafting contracts and advising on non-compete clauses, representing clients before competition forums and courts and filing leniency applications. We also advise on competition concerns at mergers and acquisitions, and for licensing and franchising agreements.

Competition Law 2/4



OUR PRACTICES

COPYRIGHT

DESIGN

LITIGATION

PATENTS

TRADEMARK

Competition Law 3/4



Related News & Insights

VIEW ALL

News & Updates • August 25, 2025

Conference on Standard Essential Patents (SEPs)

At the FICCI–ICRIER Conference on SEPs (23rd August 2025), our Managing Partner Mr. Pravin Anand shared his vision on how India can evolve from being

News & Updates • August 24, 2025

IAM Strategy 300

'Intellectual Property for a company/ creator is as critical and important as human rights for an individual,' this guiding belief at ANAND AND ANAND

Thought Leadership • August 21, 2025

Patent examination slowdown risks harming India's IP momentum

'First published on IAM' By: Vaishali R Mittal Patent examination by the Indian Patent Office (IPO) has slowed down dramatically over the last few

Thought Leadership • July 28, 2025

Human versus machine consciousness

'First published on Asia IP' By: Pravin Anand In the book What is Life, written in 1944 by theoretical physicist Erwin Schrödinger, the author states: "A

Competition Law 4/4